

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/600,469		FRANKIEWICZ ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Thomas M. Lithgow		1724	

All Participants:

(1) Thomas M. Lithgow.

(2) Mr. Johnson.

Date of Interview: 17 May 2006

Type of Interview:

☐ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1-16, 17 and 25

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amend claims to clarify that the small bubbles remain in the mixture as consistent with applicant's arguments at page 16 of his response as to why the instant claims define over Broussard (US 5407584).

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



THOMAS M. LITHGOW
PRIMARY EXAMINER
(Examiner/SP) **Signature 1700**

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)